הוויכוח הלונדוני על סדר היום והרצאות. גרינבום ומשה הפצירו שארצה על "אפקי ההגשמה". גרינבום הודיע שלא ישאר במחלקת העליה אם יוסיפו לו שותף.

מ-מ.מ. לוו. (יום 15.7.35).

- Thank you very much for your letter of the 6th
 July. I appreciate your writing so fully and also so frankly which is exactly what I want you to do.
- 2. I have arranged to see Dr. Weizmann on 17th July and I will then speak to him as in paragraph 4 of my telegram of the 25th June and on linesof para 6 of your telegram of 21st June and I will let you have a report of what he says.
- 3. For my own information I should be grateful if you could let me know gist of what you intend to say to Shertok on 19th July and to Arab leaders subsequently. It may be that your letter of 10th July (not yet received) indicates your intention in this respect and in that case of course I do not want to trouble you to telegraph further as to what you are going to say.
- 4. I quite agree with views expressed in last two para, ofyour letter and I think that you might well

give a warning at a suitable time both to Arabs and Jews in the sense suggested.

5. As regards questions of parity I appreciate argument which you have set out so clearly in your letter and I am entirely with you that there can now be no question of considering parity for the present purpose of establishing a L.C. But I am not quite chear whether any statements which have been made either to Jews or to Arabs are such as to preclude consideration of parity as an ultimate objective. If they do preclude that, then I would not for a moment suggest that we should go back on them. But if possibility of parity as an ultimate objective (as distinct from?) parity in setting up now of a L.C.) has not been ruled out, I would very much like to have an opportunity of discussing the matter fully with you when you come home and in that case I would ask you not to say anything in your talk now which would bar the door to parity as an altimate objective. It seems to me just possible that, if we were agreed a proposal of the nature were in itself desirable, it might at a later stage actually help (in the present?) negotiations.